CA-24	
<b>BEFORE THE PUBLIC</b>	UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE	C OF CALIFORNIA
Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) for Approval of the	A.12-04-019
Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project and Authorization to Recover All Present and Futur	(Filed April 23, 2012)
Costs in Rates.	e
REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	Y OF WILLIAM D. ROGERS
	Y OF WILLIAM D. ROGERS RATA
ER	RATA
<b>ER</b> Lori Anne Dolqueist	
<b>ER</b> Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company
<b>ER</b> Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company 333 Hayes Street
<b>ER</b> Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company
ER Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 291-7400	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company 333 Hayes Street Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 863-2960
ER Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 291-7400 Idolqueist@manatt.com	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company 333 Hayes Street Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94102
ER Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 291-7400 Idolqueist@manatt.com Attorneys for Applicant	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company 333 Hayes Street Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 863-2960 sarah.leeper@amwater.com
ER Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 291-7400 Idolqueist@manatt.com Attorneys for Applicant	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company 333 Hayes Street Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 863-2960
ER Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 291-7400 Idolqueist@manatt.com Attorneys for Applicant California-American Water Company	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company 333 Hayes Street Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 863-2960 sarah.leeper@amwater.com Attorneys for Applicant
ER Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 291-7400	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company 333 Hayes Street Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 863-2960 sarah.leeper@amwater.com Attorneys for Applicant
ER Lori Anne Dolqueist Manatt, Phelps & Phillips, LLP One Embarcadero Center 30th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 (415) 291-7400 dolqueist@manatt.com Attorneys for Applicant California-American Water Company	RATA Sarah E. Leeper Javier Naranjo California-American Water Company 333 Hayes Street Suite 202 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 863-2960 sarah.leeper@amwater.com Attorneys for Applicant

1		TABLE OF CONTENTS
2		Page
3	I.	INTRODUCTION
4	II.	CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER
5	III. IV.	MPWMD
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3076838	98.1 i ERRATA VERSION

1		BEFORE THE PUBLI	C UTILITIES COMMISSI	ON
2	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
2	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
3 4	Application of California-American Water			
5	Company (U210W) for Approval of the Monterey Peninsula Water Supply Project (Filed April 23, 2012)A.12-04-019 (Filed April 23, 2012)			
6	and Authorization to Recover All Present and Future Costs in Rates.			
7				
8		<b>REBUTTAL TESTIMO</b>	NY OF WILLIAM D. ROG	ERS
0 9		Ε	RRATA	
10	I.	INTRODUCTION		
10	<b>Q</b> 1.	Please state your name, business addr	ess and telephone number	
12	Q1. A1.	My name is William D. Rogers, and I	-	Water Works Service
12	711.	Company (the "Service Company"), a		
13 14		("AWW") as Vice President and Trea	•	-
14		Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08034.	surer. My business address r	s 151 woodelest Road,
15 16		Cheffy Hill, New Jersey 08034.		
10	Q2.	What are your responsibilities?		
17	Q2. A2.	The Treasury group is responsible for	advising subsidiaries on can	ital structure financial
10 19	Π2.	liquidity, and alternatives for permane		
19 20		and then executing that capital format		-
		0 1		
21		addition, the Treasury group provides		
22		term fully committed bank revolving		
23		and then lends these funds as appropri-		-
24 25		of financial liquidity and capital mana	-	-
25 26		agency relations, advising the board o	-	-
26		the board on dividend payout, financia	-	-
27		plans, placement of insurance in order	to transfer risk, and enterpri	se risk management
28	3076838	activities. 98.1	1	ERRATA VERSION

1 Q3. Briefly describe your educational background. 2 A3. I hold an MBA in accounting and finance from Duke University. I am also a 3 distinguished graduate of the U.S. Military Academy with a bachelor's degree in 4 engineering and economics. I hold a Chartered Financial Analyst from the CFA Institute 5 and I am a Certified Treasury Professional from the Association of Financial Professionals. 6 7 8 Q4. Please describe your professional experience. 9 A4. Prior to joining AWW in 2010, I was the chief financial officer for NV Energy, an 10 investor-owned utility in Las Vegas serving 1.5 million electric and gas customers in 11 Nevada and until 2010 in California, with annual revenues of \$3.3 billion. I previously 12 served as vice president of finance, risk and tax, as well as corporate treasurer. 13 14 Before joining NV Energy, I was a managing director of capital markets for both Merrill 15 Lynch and JPMorgan Chase in New York, providing debt and equity capital markets 16 advisory services and underwriting to electric, gas and water utilities and to various 17 segments of the energy industry. 18 19 Before beginning my finance career, I served on active duty as an engineer and officer in 20 82d Airborne and 2d Infantry Divisions of the United States Army for six years, departing 21 with the rank of captain. 22 23 Q5. What is the purpose of your testimony? 24 A5. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the current and future financing that is provided 25 to California American Water by the American Water Capital Corporation ("AWCC") and 26 why the California Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") needs to ensure that the 27 financing proposals made by the Monterey Peninsula Water Management District 28 **ERRATA VERSION** 307683898.1 2

("MPWMD") and the Division of Ratepayer Advocates ("DRA") do not negatively affect the financial position of California American Water.

3

4

5

6

23

1

2

## II. CALIFORNIA AMERICAN WATER

Q6. Please explain the financing relationship between California American Water and AWCC.

7 A6. AWCC provides cash management services and long term and short term debt financing 8 services to California American Water. AWCC is able to achieve higher credit ratings, a 9 lower cost of capital, and access to more sources of capital than its utility subsidiaries as a 10 result of the size of American Water and the diversity of the portfolio of utility 11 subsidiaries of American Water. Fixed income investors, commercial paper lenders and 12 commercial bank lenders can look to AWCC's broad portfolio of loans to wholly-owned 13 utility subsidiaries of American Water and with the diversification see strength. The 14 result is a rating of Baa2 by Moody's with a credit positive outlook and a rating of BBB+ 15 by Standard and Poor's with a credit positive outlook. The strength of the access to 16 capital is demonstrated by AWCC's recent December 2012 debt issuance with a thirty 17 year maturity (2042) and a coupon of 4.30%. Further, AWCC is able to borrow in the 18 commercial paper markets as an A2/P2 (Standard and Poor's and Moody's ratings, 19 respectively) credit at costs of 0.45% in current markets. Finally, AWCC is able to access 20 contractually committed bank credit facilities with its current \$1.0 billion revolving credit 21 facility maturing in October 2017. All of these borrowings and credit facilities are then 22 available to subsidiary utilities at the same rate at which AWCC borrows externally.

Q7. Please explain why the Commission must consider California American Water's financial viability when it evaluates the impact of MPWMD and DRA's financing proposals.
 A7. California American Water is a stand-alone water utility company operating in California, and California American Water must have the financial means to raise equity and debt (at reasonable rates) to invest and maintain its utility infrastructure. As long as California 307683898.1
 Barratoversion

1		American Water maintains a reasonable conital structure and come a reasonable raturn on
1		American Water maintains a reasonable capital structure and earns a reasonable return on
2		equity, it will be able to attract debt investors at reasonable interest rates. As reviewed in
3		the prior question, AWCC provides a more efficient means for California American Water
4		to access the short-term and long-term debt capital markets and provides such capital at
5		cost to California American Water.
6		
7		If California American Water and other regulated utilities do not maintain a reasonable
8		capital structure or do not earn a reasonable return, American Water's and AWCC's credit
9		quality will suffer. In addition, American Water may not be able to attract equity
10		investments, which could affect its ability and willingness to make equity investments in
11		California American Water.
12		
13	III.	MPWMD
14	Q8.	Have you read the February 5, 2013 Proposal for Public Contribution of Funds?
15	A8.	Yes.
16		
17	Q9.	Do you have any concerns regarding the public contribution proposals?
18	A9.	MPWMD describes its public contribution proposals in relatively general terms.
19		However, the specifics of the financing, including the structure of the special purpose
20		entities, rating agency requirements, segregation of funds, credit rating impacts on
21		California American Water and AWW, fees and expenses to establish the structure, and
22		ongoing expenses to manage the structure are not available at this time. While American
23		Water would support California American Water in considering a public contribution, it is
24		important to ensure that the benefits to customers are significant enough to justify the
25		complexities of such a transaction. This is also addressed in the testimony of Dr. William
26		Chambers.
27		
28		
	3076838	98.1 4 ERRATA VERSION

First, this is a complex transaction relative to a direct borrowing by California American Water from AWCC, which itself has well known borrowing rates and reasonable ready access to the debt capital markets. The complexity of the transaction may require significant fees and expenses in order to structure the transaction. These fees and expenses would further increase the all in costs to California American Water customers. Should the entity need to fund reserve accounts or escrow funds, this would further add to the cost of the transaction. MPWMD should endeavor to minimize any escrow requirements, for any amount of escrow will increase costs at the margin and the greater the amount of escrow required relative to the principal outstanding, the greater the costs to customers as a result of the negative carry. Second, with respect to timing, there could be potential delays from a highly structured

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

transaction. Complex financial structures or structures that require significant, albeit appropriate, approvals by public agencies by definition require more time and could delay access to credit markets or delay the overall timing of the project.

Third, should the financing as proposed be capitalized onto the balance sheet or be imputed onto the balance sheet of California American Water, then, on the margin, by the very definition of debt, this would certainly have a negative impact on the credit metrics of California American Water and AWCC, either in the view of credit rating agencies or fixed income investors or both. In the case of securitization, the magnitude of the secured debt would have a significant impact on a company the size of California American Water. The secured debt would be first priority ahead of other debt and equity, making other debt and equity more risky. Should debt other than the public financing proposals currently and prospectively on California American Water's balance sheet be effectively structurally subordinate to the payment of interest and principal on these public financing proposals, then there would be less cash flow to service this debt. Therefore the credit metrics (e.g., cash flow to interest and debt to cash flow) are weaker, making this debt and 307683898.1 5 ERRATA VERSION California American Water more risky. The impact on credit metrics would be based upon the amount of the public financing proposals, but any debt that is placed in priority of California American Water debt would weaken the credit metrics on the margin.

Fourth, in addition to the balance sheet and credit rating impacts, it is not clear whether
California American Water would need a credit rating to complete this financing.
Although MPWMD states that no separate rating would be needed, it is possible that this
could be a requirement to get the financing completed. I encourage caution prior to
asking for a credit rating of California American Water. A separate credit rating could
affect the viability of the financing if California American Water has a credit rating below
AWCC or, given its size and historical credit metrics, a non investment credit rating.
Furthermore, if the Monterey customer funds had to be segregated and the first in line in a
water-fall system of accounts, this could further diminish the California American Water

Last, California American Water's and AWW's cash management operations are not configured to segregate the funds of Monterey customers. Should this be a requirement, along with the regular deposit of these funds into a trust account, there will be meaningful systems technology investments and bank system interfaces that will need to be established and tested. The segregation of customer funds is not an insignificant operational requirement. Again, we are spreading high one time fixed costs over a relatively small number of customers. Moreover, if California American Water were required to segregate the funds in its cash management operations / remittance system and then regularly deposit these funds in a trust account, then the cash is not available for all operations and maintenance requirements of California American Water. Therefore, the segregation of funds in a priority of water-fall accounts would diminish the cash available for other needs of California American Water.

1 Q10. Is it possible that the public financing proposals could have a negative impact on non-2 Monterey County District customers? 3 A10. Yes, this Assuming the amount is capitalized on imputed on California American Water's 4 balance sheet, this is not only possible but is likely. If the public financing proposals 5 negatively impact the credit metrics or the seniority of cash flow available to service other CAW debt, then the cost of debt for all CAW customers would be impacted. As I 6 7 reviewed earlier and as Mr. Chambers has testified in more detail, there are significant 8 credit rating issues for consideration. 9 10 Q11. You mentioned that the public financing proposals could increase the riskiness of 11 California American Water. What steps would be necessary to bring California American 12 Water's risk profile back into balance? Should the public financing proposals increase the risk of California American Water, 13 A11. 14 then it would be appropriate to add to the equity capital strength of California American 15 Water and decrease its financial leverage. Without a stronger balance sheet to offset this 16 risk, it would be appropriate to seek a higher allowed return on equity as a result of the 17 increased risk. 18 19 Q12. Mr. Larkins states that an expected securitization would be rated AA or better, and that the 20 MPWMD certificate of deposit structure would be rated in the single A category. Would 21 this provide a clear benefit? 22 A12. It is not clear that merely having a higher credit rating would result in a lower cost of 23 capital for customers. For instance, most "stranded asset financings" were priced to yield 24 the same as single A utility credit quality, despite having AAA ratings, when these 25 financing structures were in vogue earlier last decade. This is simply due to the complex 26 nature of the transactions. In much of the 2011 and 2012 capital markets, A rated credits in the corporate market had a lower cost of capital than many stronger rated 27 28 municipalities. This was due to absolute level of yields and the nature of the tax exempt 307683898.1 **ERRATA VERSION** 7

1		markets as well as published research on the direction of credit quality of municipalities.
2		In the context of the current market, AWCC with Baa2/BBB+ (outlook positive from both
3		agencies) can borrow at 3.0% for ten year funds and 4.25% for thirty year funds.
4		California American Water would enjoy this same low cost of funds when it, in turn,
5		borrows from AWCC. Therefore, the credit rating is but one factor in the cost of debt
6		capital. Market sentiment, investor access, credit quality and corporate name recognition,
7		and investor segmentation can be equally if not more important.
8		
9	Q13.	Is it possible that implementation of the public contribution proposals could delay the
10		financing process?
11	A13.	As I discussed earlier, the drafting of documentation, state and local agency approval
12		process, and potential credit rating process for the public contribution proposals will take
13		time relative to the ability of CAW to borrow from AWCC and AWCC's ability to take
14		advantage of its shelf registration and ready access to the credit markets. CAW and
15		American Water are committed to working closely and efficiently with MPWMD in order
16		to complete documentation and access capital markets in a timely manner to reduce risk
17		delays in the financing process as a result of the complexities in their proposed financing
18		structures.
19		
20	IV.	DRA
21	Q14.	In its testimony, DRA criticizes California American Water's treatment of State
22		Revolving Fund ("SRF") loans in its financial model. Are you aware of how others states
23		direct utilities to handle SRF-type loans?
24	A14.	Several of American Water subsidiaries, including our New Jersey and Pennsylvania
25		subsidiaries, are able to access state revolving funds. These borrowings are capitalized on
26		our subsidiary balance sheets as debt and the subsidiary balances its capital structures with
27		equity contributions from American Water.
28		
	3076838	98.18ERRATA VERSION

1	Q15.	If there were no equity offset for the SRF, could that affect California American Water's
2		current arrangement with AWCC?
3	A15.	Certainly. Without an equity offset, the SRF borrowings will make CAW's credit metrics
4		more risky. If the increase in risk is material, AWCC would necessarily evaluate its
5		financing arrangement with CAW.
6		
7	Q16.	Does this conclude your direct testimony?
8	A16.	Yes it does.
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27 28		
20	30768389	9 ERRATA VERSION